

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/648,283	08/27/2003	Takayuki Kitazawa	031080	4445	
23850 7	590 03/15/2006		EXAMINER		
ARMSTRONG, KRATZ, QUINTOS, HANSON & BROOKS, LLP			RUTLAND WALLIS, MICHAEL		
1725 K STREE SUITE 1000	ET, NW		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	N, DC 20006		2835		

DATE MAILED: 03/15/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	n No.	Applicant(s)				
	Office Antinu Ocument	10/648,28	3	KITAZAWA ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
		i i	utland-Wallis	2835				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MA nsions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commur or period for reply is specified above, the maximum stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply with reply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ILING DATE OF THE 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no even inication. It ory period will apply and will, by statute, cause the app	IIS COMMUNICATION ont, however, may a reply be tin Il expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ication to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this cor ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	,			
Status				•				
1) 🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on 11 January 200	<b>5</b> .					
	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
3)	Since this application is in condition for	•		osecution as to the	merits is			
,	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4)	Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the ap	plication.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
· <u> </u>	Claim(s) 1-22 is/are rejected.							
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
· ·	Claim(s) are subject to restriction	on and/or election re	equirement.					
Applicati	on Papers							
	The specification is objected to by the	Evaminar						
-	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		ated or h) abjected	to by the Evaminer				
10) The drawing(s) filed on <u>27 August 2003</u> is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
	_		1051100004404	V ( I) (D				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a)[	All b) Some * c) None of:  1 □ Contified conice of the priority of		id					
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
<ul> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage</li> </ul>								
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	• •		ed in this National S	stage			
* 0	application from the International	•	` ''	- d				
	See the attached detailed Office action	for a list of the certi	led copies not receive	<b>2</b> 0.				
Attachmen								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date								
2) Notice of Drainsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P10-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PT0-1449 or PT0/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 08/27/03 106  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PT0-152) 6) Other:								

### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election without traverse of group I claims 1-15 and 18-22 in the reply filed on January 11, 2006 is acknowledged.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1 recites the limitation "a control bias for cutting off all the switching transistors to the switching transistors when all of the switching transistors are in a non-selected state." It cannot be determined by the office what applicant intends by cutting off all of the switching transistors to the switching transistor.

Claim 18 recites a similar limitation "supplying a control bias for cutting off all the switching transistors to the switching transistors when all the switching transistors are in a non-selected state." Similarly it cannot be determined by the office what applicant intends by cutting off all of the switching transistors to the switching transistor.

Application/Control Number: 10/648,283

Art Unit: 2835

Claim 8 states the limitation "a plurality of control biases" as the examiner understands applicants invention this limitation applicant should change this limitation to "a plurality of control biases voltages"

Page 3

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-2, 14 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Hamase (JP Pub No. 2002135095).

The following rejections are based on the examiners best interpretation of claims 1 and 18.

With respect to claim 1 and 18 Hamase teaches a switching circuit (item 11) comprising: switching transistors (items 1, 2, 3 and 4) connected to an output terminal (see node out1) of the switching circuit; and a control bias supply circuit (resistor circuitry connected terminal VC1) that supplies a control bias for cutting off all the switching transistors when all of the switching transistors are in a non-selected state.

With respect to claim 2 and 14 Hamase teaches the control bias supply circuit supplies the control bias to a connection node (node connecting the gate terminal of transistors 1, 2 and 3 with VC1) via which the switching transistors are commonly connected and the common connection node is connected to a ground potential through a resistor (item R1).

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 3, 11 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hamase (JP Pub No. 2002135095).

With respect to claim 3 Hamase is silent on the origin or location of the VC1 signal. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have the input voltage signal used to be located outside of the switching circuit if it is held by applicant this is not the operation of Hamase.

With respect to claim 11 Hamase teaches at least three switching transistors (transistors 1,2 and 3), which are commonly connected to the output terminal of the switching circuit.

With respect to claim 22 Hamase teaches supplying the control bias having a first voltage value (ON voltage) when at least one of the switching transistors is in a selected

Art Unit: 2835

state and supplying the control bias having a second voltage (off voltage) value different from the first voltage value when all the switching transistors are in the non-selected state.

Claims 4 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hamase (JP Pub No. 2002135095) in view of Yoshida et al. (U.S. Pat. No. 4,317,055).

With respect to claim 4 Hamase does not teach the use of a diode connected in a forward direction in which the voltage signal is applied. Yoshida teaches switching circuit where control bias circuitry comprises a diode in the forward bias direction (Fig. 13). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Hamase to use a diode connected in a forward bias direction to protect the transistor.

With respect to claim 6 Hamase teaches the source of the transistor is connected to a connection node. Hamase does not teach the node is connected via which the switching transistors are commonly connected, while the other one of the source and drain is connected to a ground potential through a capacitive element. Yoshida teaches connecting a common ground terminal to of switching transistors. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to connect the switching transistors to the ground terminal.

Claims 5, 7-9, 13 and 19-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hamase (JP Pub No. 2002135095) in view of Matsunaga et al. (U.S. Pub. No. 20030016082).

With respect to claims 7-9 and 20-21 Hamase teaches the device of claim 1 as understood by the examiner, however Hamase does not teach the control bias varies the control voltage of the control bias circuitry. Matsunaga teaches the use of a control bias circuit, which teaches the capability of varying the voltage, supplied the voltage control terminals of switching transistors. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Hamase to use a control bias circuit similar to that seen in Matsunaga in order to prevent excess current flow to the switching transistors.

With respect to claims 5,13, 19 Hamase teaches the device of claim 1. Hamase does not teach the use of MESFET in the control bias supply circuit. Matsunaga teaches the use of transistors in the bias control circuitry if it is held no transistors are to be connected to the VC1 terminal of Hamase. Hamase teaches the use of FETs. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use a MESFET over another type of FET to increase the switching speed

Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hamase (JP Pub No. 2002135095) in view of Ayasli et al. (U.S. Pat. No. 5,012,123).

With respect to claim 12 Hamase does not teach the use of a shunt transistor connected to a source of a first one of the switching transistors, wherein a voltage signal applied to a gate of a second one of the switching transistors is applied to a gate of the shunt transistor. Ayasli teaches the use of a shunt resistor. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use a shunt resistor reduce noise.

Art Unit: 2835

Claim 15 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hamase (JP Pub No. 2002135095) in view of Ayasli et al. (U.S. Pat. No. 5,012,123).

With respect to claim 15 Hamase does not teach ballast resistors, each of which is connected between a source and a drain of a corresponding one of the switching transistors. Ayasli teaches the use of ballast resistors see fig 4. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to in order to establish a biasing voltage source and drain of the switching transistor.

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Pasqualini (U.S. Pat. No. 5,428,303) teaches a biasing control circuit which may be of particular relevance to applicant's claims

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Rutland-Wallis whose telephone number is 571-272-5921. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 7:30AM-6:00PM EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lynn D. Feild can be reached on 571-272-2092. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/648,283

Art Unit: 2835

Page 8

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

**MRW** 

LYNN FEILD

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800